



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/012,904                               | 01/23/1998  | HARRY MEADE          | TCI-028DV               | 2693             |
| 7590 04/07/2004                          |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| FISH & RICHARDSON<br>225 FRANKLIN STREET |             |                      | QIAN, CELINE X          |                  |
| BOSTON, MA 021102804                     |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 1636                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 7 |
|---|
| 0 |

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/012,904      | MEADE ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Celine X Qian   | 1636         |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

| cond            | ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Reque xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | ipplication in<br>st for Continued |
|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|
|                 | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |                                    |
| a)              | a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |                                    |
| b)              | b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, wh event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION (1).  |                                    |
| 37 CF<br>(b) ab | Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriation of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | te extension fee under             |
| 1.              | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   | in                                 |
| 2.⊠             | . The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |                                    |
| (               | (a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below)   | ow);                               |
| (               | (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |                                    |
| (               | (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | or simplifying the                 |
| (6              | (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected   | claims.                            |
|                 | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |                                    |
| 3.              | . Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |                                    |
| 4.              | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | filed amendment                    |
| 5.⊠             |  | NOT place the                      |
| 6.              | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | were newly                         |
| 7.🖂             | □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   | red and an                         |
|                 | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |                                    |
|                 | Claim(s) allowed:  |                                    |
|                 | Claim(s) objected to:  |                                    |
|                 | Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,21-23 and 25-30</u> .   |                                    |
|                 | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                                    |
| 8.              | $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ approved or b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.  |                                    |
| 9.              | □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |                                    |
| 10.             | Other:   |                                    |
|                 | Anne-mari  | e Falk                             |
|                 | 10.10.00   |                                    |

ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D. DOWARY EXAMINER

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/012,904

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces new limitations to the claims, which would require new search. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are moot because they are directed to the amended claims which will not be entered for reasons given above.

The supplimental IDS is not considered because it does not satisfy the requirement set forth in 37 CFR section 1.97 (d),(e). Applicants need to provide a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e) in order for the listed documents to be considered.